



Optimization with Partial Differential Equations

<http://www-m1.ma.tum.de/bin/view/Lehrstuhl/MUlbrichOptPDEsWS1516>

Exercise Sheet 6

Exercise 6.1 (Second-order sufficient conditions, revisited):

Let $\Omega := (1, \infty)$ and consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{y \in Y} J(y) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{y(x)^2}{x} - y(x)^3 dx \quad (1)$$

on $Y := L^3(\Omega)$, and let $\bar{y} \equiv 0$.

- Prove that the objective function $J : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined and twice continuously Fréchet-differentiable and compute the first and second derivative.
- Prove that \bar{y} fulfills the first-order optimality conditions of problem (1) and write them as equation in a suitable function space.
- Show that

$$\langle J''(\bar{y})v, v \rangle_{Y^*, Y} > 0$$

holds for all $v \in Y \setminus \{0\}$.

- Show that \bar{y} is no local solution of problem (1). Why is it not possible to apply exercise 5.1?

Exercise 6.2 (Conditional gradient method):

Let W be a reflexive Banach space, $W_{\text{ad}} \subset W$ be a nonempty, closed, bounded, convex set, and let $J : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be G-differentiable. Consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{w \in W} J(w) \quad \text{s. t.} \quad w \in W_{\text{ad}}. \quad (2)$$

The *conditional gradient method* for the iterative solution of this problem works as follows: An initial point $w^0 \in W_{\text{ad}}$ is selected. Then one repeats for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$:

- Determine $v^k \in \arg \min_{v \in W_{\text{ad}}} \langle J'(w^k), v \rangle_{W^*, W}$ and set $s^k := v^k - w^k$.
- If $\langle J'(w^k), s^k \rangle_{W^*, W} \geq 0$ holds, then STOP the algorithm.
- Determine $\sigma_k \in \arg \min_{\sigma \in (0, 1]} J(w^k + \sigma s^k)$.
- Set $w^{k+1} := w^k + \sigma_k s^k$ (and go to step 1).

Argue that this algorithm is well-defined and produces a (possibly finite) sequence $(w_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset W_{\text{ad}}$ such that $(J(w^k))_k$ is strictly decreasing. Can you explain the stopping criterion in step 2?

Exercise 6.3 (Application of the conditional gradient method):

We want to apply the conditional gradient method from exercise 6.2 to the optimal control problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{(y,u) \in Y \times U} J(y,u) &:= \frac{1}{2} \|y - y_d\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \text{s. t.} \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta y + y = gu + f & (\text{in } \Omega), \\ y = 0 & (\text{on } \partial\Omega), \end{cases} & u \in U_{\text{ad}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with an open, bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $Y := H_0^1(\Omega)$, $U := L^2(\Omega)$, $y_d, f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\alpha > 0$, and $U_{\text{ad}} := \{u \in U : l \leq u \leq r \text{ a. e. in } \Omega\}$ with $l, r \in L^2(\Omega)$, $l \leq r$ a. e. in Ω . You can use without a proof that the state equation in (3) admits a unique weak solution $y(u) \in Y$ for every $u \in U$ and fixed f and g and that the solution operator $u \mapsto y(u)$ is affine and continuous.

- a) Give the reduced problem and argue that the prerequisites from exercise 6.2 hold.
- b) Determine the adjoint equation in weak and strong form and argue that the adjoint state is uniquely determined.
- c) Compute the adjoint-based representation of the gradient of the reduced objective function.
- d) Formulate the conditional gradient method from exercise 6.2 applied to the reduced problem from part a). Note that v^k and σ_k in steps 1 and 3 can be computed explicitly for this problem.